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Background

- Collaboration between UK national FASD clinic and University of Salford
- Children suspected of FASD often have history of abuse, neglect, or other traumatic experiences prior to fostering or adoption
- Symptoms of abuse or neglect similar to symptoms of FASD
- Do children with both exposures have a different profile than children with just prenatal alcohol exposure?
- Important for service providers to predict outcomes and recommend services or interventions
Systematic review

- 8 online databases and reference sections
- 15,193 records
- 39 full articles
- 5 papers in final synthesis
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hyter 2012 (quasi-experimental, n=106)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinically significant language delays and social communication difficulties in both groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘Both’ group had more language delays than ‘just trauma’ group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No significant difference between groups in social communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Henry et al 2007 (quasi-experimental, n=274)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘Both’ group had more delays/deficits in: attention, memory, language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No difference between groups in: visual processing, motor control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‘Both’ group had lower verbal, non-verbal and composite IQ scores.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Children in ‘both’ group rated as more problematic than ‘just trauma’ group by parents and teachers in the following: hyperactivity, attention, impulsivity, restlessness; and by parents only in the following: oppositional behaviour, social problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coggins et al 2007 (cross-sectional, n=573)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Substantial comorbidity between PAE and trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sample as a whole had poor language and social communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No relationship between trauma and severity of outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Koponen et al 2009 (cross-sectional, n=38)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full sample had high levels of cognitive and behavioural problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Being older when removed from abusive home predicted more cognitive and behavioural problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More traumatic experiences predicted attachment and behavioural problems, but not cognitive deficit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Koponen et al 2013 (qualitative, n=34)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Children who lived with abusive parents appeared to have more difficulties with attachment, concentration, hyperactivity and developmental delay than those adopted at birth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Difficult to draw any conclusions due to study design</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Some gaps in the literature

• More comprehensive studies into effect of PAE within trauma

• No studies into executive functioning, empathy, brain activity

• Lack of studies into intelligence and emotional/behavioural difficulties

• No study into the experiences of parents/carers of children with both exposures
Original studies

Behavioural/emotional/social
• Online survey of international sample of parents/carers of 253 children aged 4-16 with FASD – questions about trauma, behaviour and empathy

Neurocognitive
• Local sub-sample of those children, aged 8-14, came in to university for assessment of IQ, executive functioning and brain activity

Experiences of parents
• 12 sets of parents/carers of children from cognitive assessment were interviewed about their experiences.
Online survey advertised via social media
Completed by parents/cares of 253 children aged 4-16 with FASD from 8 countries, but mostly from UK, USA and Canada
- Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) questionnaire
  - Abuse, neglect, witnessed domestic violence, lived with parent that was an addict, mentally ill or imprisoned, experienced divorce
- Griffith Empathy Measure
  - Cognitive and affective empathy
- Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire
  - Peer problems, conduct problems, hyperactivity, emotional problems, prosocial behaviour
Adverse Childhood Experiences

- Sample of children with FASD scored significantly higher than population data on all ACE’s except for physical and sexual abuse.

- Physical abuse score was similar to population data and sexual abuse score was lower.

- However, these Figueres probably underestimate the true scores.

- Survey was completed by (mostly adoptive) parents on behalf of their children and many reported being unsure of neglect or abuse.
Strengths and difficulties Questionnaire

- SDQ is standardised and gives a score of average, raised, high or very high
- Most children in general population will score average on every scale.
- Majority of FASD sample of children were very high on every scale. Prosocial is reverse scored so a high score indicates a lack of prosocial behaviour
- Overall, 82% of FASD children were in very high for overall behavioural difficulties.
- On empathy, FASD children in every age range had significantly lower empathy scores than normative data, with high effect sizes.
Relationship between ACEs and behavioural/emotional/social functioning

- Divided children by presence/absence of maltreatment (abuse or neglect)
- No difference in empathy or behavioural difficulties related to maltreatment
- No correlation between ACE score and empathy
- Weak positive correlation between ACE score and SDQ score ($r=.23$, $p<.001$)
- On subscales, only peer problems ($r=.17$, $p<.01$) and conduct problems ($r=.25$, $p<.001$) were significant.
- No, or weak impact of trauma on behavioural/social/emotional functioning in children with FASD
Neurological and cognitive studies

- Sub-sample of 25 children aged 8-14 from England came into University of Salford for further assessment.
- 3 groups: 13 ‘just FASD’, 12 ‘FASD+maltreatment’, 15 TD controls

**Intelligence**
- Wide Range Intelligence Test (WRIT) – brief measure of IQ

**Executive functioning**
- Go/No-go – inhibitory control
- Tower of Hanoi – working memory

**Brain activity**
- Functional near infra-red spectroscopy (fNIRS)
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Neurological and cognitive studies

- Both FASD groups (maltreatment and no maltreatment) had average estimated IQ scores in the normal population range (85-115)
- Control group had average estimated IQ score in above average range
- No normative data for EF tasks. No difference between 3 groups – FASD children had similar results to controls.
- fNIRS also showed no difference in PFC activation between the three groups
- No effect of maltreatment identified on cognitive or neurological functioning in children with FASD
Experiences of caregivers

• Final study – experiences of caregivers
• 12 interview with families of 17 of the FASD children aged 8-14 from neurocognitive study
• About half of those children had a history of maltreatment
• Questions on cognitive, daily living, behavioural, social difficulties of children, and how these affected parents and other family members
• Thematic analysis identified 9 themes, which formed 2 overarching domains
The Child

- Holding it together at school, letting it out at home
- Problems with school
- Child’s behaviour difficult to manage
- Effect on social life
- A different kind of parenting
- School as a source of stress for the child
- The child that nobody wanted
- Child as a cause of change or stress for family
- Structure, hard-work and determination paid off
- Some approaches were effective
- Easily led – risk to self
- Children as risks to themselves or others
- No fear of strangers – risk to self
- Having grown-up children around to help
- Skills and abilities
- Child as a source of pride
- Rewarding moments
- Risk to self or others due to poor social skills
- Aggressive – risk to others
- Worry about child’s future - sex, drugs, and alcohol
Experiences of caregivers - trauma

• Parents of children with a history of maltreatment described those events
• Parents of children with and without maltreatment contributed to all themes
• Children with history of maltreatment qualified for services designed for trauma/attachment
• Children without maltreatment were also offered these services
• Nothing designed specifically for FASD
Summary and conclusions

- Children in this population with FASD had high levels of ACEs including maltreatment.

- Low empathy, high levels of behavioural/emotional/social difficulties.

- Neurological and cognitive functioning was in normal range.

- Little or no impact of trauma on cognitive and behavioural functioning identified in children with FASD.
Summary and conclusions

• Caregivers reported difficulties and stress associated with children’s difficulties, but lack of services was described as being even more difficult.

• More studies needed, but in children with both exposures, PAE may be a better explanation of difficulties than trauma.

• Children with both exposures currently accessing services designed for trauma/attachment, but if anything PAE is more responsible for their difficulties

• Services need to be designed specifically for FASD
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